

1. TENDER EVALUATION CRITERIA

The following criteria will be used to award the tender. Should there be criteria over and above the listed below, that will be used, such criteria will be specifically stated.

Technical evaluation criteria

Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
1.	COMPANY PREVIOUS EXPERIENCE:	25 Points	4 Reference letters/Completion certificates on a Company Letterhead signed by referring company with contactable details = 25 Points	Track record in supply, delivery, installation / assembly, testing and commissioning of high voltage testers or any industrial test bench used to test motors with test values including 15kv, 20kv .
			3 Reference letters/Completion certificates on a Company Letterhead signed by referring company with contactable details = 15 Points	The following reference will be accepted. • Four reference letters on a company letter head with contactable details including dates of completion, project cost, and only reference letters for projects completed for the supply, delivery, testing & commissioning of the industrial mobile compressors or industrial stationary compressors, will be accepted.
			2 Reference letters/Completion certificates on a Company Letterhead signed by referring company with contactable details = 10 Points	
			1 Reference letters/Completion certificates on a Company Letterhead signed by referring company with contactable details = 5 Points	•Four completion certificates on a company letter head with contactable details including dates of completion, project cost, and only completion certificates for projects completed for the supply, delivery, testing & commissioning of the industrial mobile compressors or industrial stationary compressors, will be accepted.
			No Reference Letter/Completion certificate= 0	Only records for completed projects will be accepted, the projects shall have been done within the last 10 years. Reference letters/Completion certificates must be verifiable


Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
2.	<p>PROJECT DELIVERY SCHEDULE WITH TIMELINES</p> <p>Lead time must be SUPPORTED by project plan which consists of a list of key activities and time frames including but not limited to the following:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> •Project Plan with sequence of tasks and appropriate timelines. 	30 Points	<p>Full Submission of Project Plan with sequence of tasks, OEM communication and appropriate timelines (between 0 – 60 working days). 30 Points</p>	<p>Detailed Project Programme/Plan on Excel or Microsoft project. Attached confirmation (email or letter) from the OEM highlighting discussion regarding the lead time.</p>
			<p>Full Submission of Project Plan with sequence of tasks, OEM communication and appropriate timelines (between 61 – 65 working days). 25 Points</p>	
			<p>Full Submission of Project Plan with sequence of tasks, OEM communication and appropriate timelines (between 66 – 70 working days). 15 Points</p>	
			<p>Full Submission of Project Plan with sequence of tasks, OEM communication and appropriate timelines (between 71 – 80 working days). 10 Points</p>	
			<p>Full Submission of Project Plan with sequence of tasks, OEM communication and appropriate timelines (more than 80 working days). 5 Points</p>	
			<p>No submission. 0</p>	

Category	Criteria	Weighting	Scoring Methodology (Based on Weight)	Evidence
3.	<p>Method Statement:</p> <p>Clearly indicates an understanding of the project as defined in the scope of work and compliance with the specification, key risk elements and how they will be managed, and demonstrate the roles and responsibilities of critical resources including sub-contractors. The method statement is a set of principles and practices that will guide in organizing this project.</p>	45 points	<p>No information provided, or submission of no substance/ irrelevant information provided. No methodology topics covered. 0</p>	<p>Methodology topics</p> <p>A detailed method statement covering the entire scope of work for the proposed solution. The methodology topics are as follows:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Supply, delivery, 2. testing, calibration and commissioning of 2 off high voltage testers. 3. Training of Transnet Engineering staff on how to operate the high voltage testers, training material. 4. Warranty. 5. Literature for the proposed high voltage testers.
			<p>The technical approach and/ or methodology is poor/ is unlikely to satisfy project objectives or requirements. The Tenderer has misunderstood certain aspects. 1 to 2 methodology topics covered. 5 Points</p>	
			<p>The technical approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology. The approach does adequately deal with the critical characteristics of the project. The project plan and risk is to be managed etc is tailored to the key aspects of the programme. 3 methodology topics covered. 15 Points</p>	
			<p>The approach is tailored to address the specific project objectives and methodology and is sufficiently flexible to accommodate changes that may occur during execution. The project plan and approach to managing risk etc is tailored to the critical characteristics of the project. The programme is good and has allowed for all critical aspects. 4 methodology topics covered. 35 Points</p>	

			Over above meeting the good rating, the important issues are approached in an innovative and efficient way, indicating that the tenderer has excellent knowledge of working state of the art approaches. The programme is well throughout and makes allowance for all key issues. 5 and above methodology topics covered. 45 Points	
Total		100 points		
Threshold		70 points		

Name: Zolani Mngqithi

Designation: Engineering Technician

Signature and date:  05.11.2024

Name: Max Dladla

Designation: Engineering Technician

Signature and date:  05/11/2024

Name: Soojith Ramnund

Designation: Engineering Manager

Signature and date:  08/11/2024